

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
TDS METROCOM, LLC,)
)
vs.) No. 03-0553
)
ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY)
)
Complaint concerning imposition)
of unreasonable and)
anti-competitive termination)
charges by Illinois Bell)
Telephone Company)

Chicago, Illinois
December 21, 2004

Met pursuant to notice at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

MR. TERRANCE A. HILLIARD, Administrative Law Judge.

1 APPEARANCES:

2 MR. OWEN E. MacBRIDE
6600 Sears Tower
3 Chicago, Illinois 60606
Appearing for TDS Metrocom, LLC;

4 MS. LOUISE A. SUNDERLAND
5 225 West Randolph Street, Suite 25-D
Chicago, Illinois 60606
6 Appearing for Illinois Bell;

7 MR. MICHAEL J. LANNON
160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
8 Chicago, Illinois 60601
Appearing for Staff.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
Tracy L. Overocker, CSR

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

I N D E X

<u>Witnesses:</u>	<u>Direct</u>	<u>Cross</u>	<u>Re-direct</u>	<u>Re-cross</u>	<u>By Examiner</u>
-------------------	---------------	--------------	------------------	-----------------	--------------------

None.

E X H I B I T S

<u>Number</u>	<u>For Identification</u>	<u>In Evidence</u>
---------------	---------------------------	--------------------

None so marked.

1 JUDGE HILLIARD: On behalf of the Illinois
2 Commerce Commission, I call Docket 03-0553, TDS
3 Metrocom, LLC versus Illinois Bell Telephone Company.

4 Beginning with Miss Sunderland, could
5 the parties identify themselves for the record,
6 please.

7 MS. SUNDERLAND: Appearing on behalf of the
8 Illinois Bell Telephone Company, Louise A.
9 Sunderland, 225 West Randolph Street, Chicago,
10 Illinois 60606.

11 MR. MacBRIDE: Appearing on behalf of TDS
12 Metrocom, LLC, Owen MacBride, 6600 Sears Tower,
13 Chicago, Illinois 606046.

14 MR. LANNON: And appearing on behalf of the
15 Staff of the Illinois Commerce Commission, Michael
16 Lannon, 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800,
17 Chicago, Illinois 60601.

18 JUDGE HILLIARD: Did you want -- one of you
19 want to describe what it is you've done and what it
20 is you'd like to do?

21 MS. SUNDERLAND: Based on an off-the-record
22 discussion between the parties, the parties would

1 propose the following further steps: Last Friday,
2 SBC Illinois and TDS Metrocom, LLC filed a revised
3 amendatory order that responded to some of the
4 concerns expressed by the Commission in the open
5 meeting.

6 SBC and TDS will file a verified
7 statement on the 28th of December which will contain
8 the facts of the settlement and it will be
9 accompanied by a pleading which outlines the
10 principle differences between the modified amendatory
11 order and the amendatory order that was filed
12 originally for the use of the Administrative Law
13 Judge and the Commission.

14 And then Staff will file a responsive
15 pleading to that one week later on January 4th.

16 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay. Anything further?

17 MR. LANNON: Your Honor, just -- the statute
18 mentions -- as we talked off the record, the statute
19 mentions an opportunity to be heard and I just -- for
20 the record, I am wondering if the parties are willing
21 to waive hearing in this matter in the reopening?

22 JUDGE HILLIARD: What's your response to that?

1 MS. SUNDERLAND: SBC Illinois will waive
2 evidentiary hearings.

3 MR. MacBRIDE: TDS Metrocom will waive
4 evidentiary hearings and the sequence of filings
5 described gives us an adequate opportunity to be
6 heard on this matter.

7 MR. LANNON: And Staff does not seek any
8 evidentiary hearing and will comply with the schedule
9 as counsel for SBC described.

10 JUDGE HILLIARD: Okay. All right. That will
11 be all for today.

12 (Whereupon, the hearing in the
13 above-entitled matter was
14 continued sine die.)

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22